

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	09/998,064		INAGI, YOSHITAKA		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Kalyan K. Deshpande		3623		

All Participants:

(1) Kalyan K. Deshpande.

(2) Leonard Holtz (Reg. No. 22, 974).

Date of Interview: 20 July 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Non-Final Mailed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 3pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Attorney Leonard Holtz returned phone call to verify that no response was submitted for the application.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

C. Michelle Tarae

C. Michelle Tarae

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3623

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)